UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

IN THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN – SOUTHERN DIVISION

Derek Antol, individually and as next friend of DSAII, a minor, and Derek S. Antol, Jr., and Tryston Antol,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Adam Dent, Kate Strauss,
Casey Bringedahl, Casey Trucks,
Pete Kutches, and Western Michigan
Enforcement Team, a public
body organized under the laws of the
State of Michigan,
Defendants,

Hon. Janet T. Neff U.S. District Court Judge

File No: 1:17-cv-613

J. Nicholas Bostic (P40653) Attorney for Plaintiffs 909 N. Washington Ave. Lansing MI 48906 517-706-0132

John G. Fedynsky (P65232) Assistant Attorney General Michigan Dep't of Attorney General Attorney for Defendant Casey Trucks 525 W. Ottawa St., P.O. Box 30736 Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 373-6434 FedynskyJ@michigan.gov Allan C. Vander Laan (P33893)
Curt A. Benson (P38891)
Cummings, McClorey, Davis & Acho
Attorneys for Defendants Adam Dent,
Kate Strauss, Casey Bringedahl & Peter Kutches
2851 Charlevoix Dr., S.E. - Suite 327
Grand Rapids MI 49546
616-975-7470
avanderlaan@cmda-law.com

Douglas M. Hughes P30958 Attorney for Defendant WEMET 120 W. Apple Ave., P.O. Box 599 Muskegon, MI 49443-0559 231-727-2119

PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO PRE-MOTION CONFERENCE REQUESTS [R. 39, Trucks; R. 40, Dent, Straus, Bringedahl, Kutches]

Plaintiffs respond to the pre-motion conference requests as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff Derek Antol's conduct in 2014 at Deuces Wild Smoke Shop was not in violation of state law and state law enforcement officers are not authorized to enforce federal law unless working in authorized conjunction with federal law enforcement.
 - 2. The search warrants obtained in 2014 were the result of knowledge gained through

the unlawful entry into a portion of Deuces Wild.

3. Defendant Straus' reliance on the prosecutor's (thus far uncorroborated claim) is

irrelevant as the prosecutor has no more authority to order the police to destroy private property

than the police have to engage in that conduct. Defendant Straus has an independent duty to obey

the Constitution.

4. Police officers only have the authority to secure a dwelling where the possible

destruction of evidence is imminent and properly justified. The police in this case showed up in a

marked car and created the exigency thereby precluding their use of this exception to the warrant

requirement.

5. DSAII was detained at gunpoint in his bedroom prior to the warrant being signed by

the state magistrate therefore the conduct is not protected by the warrant.

A claim has been stated on the face of the complaint and will be supported by appropriate

affidavits. A significant amount of disputed facts will preclude entitlement to qualified

immunity. Defendants' motion will be futile.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request this Honorable Court decline to allow the

Defendants to file a motion for summary judgment.

6/7/2018

/s/ J. Nicholas Bostic

J. Nicholas Bostic P40653

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, J. Nicholas Bostic, undersigned attorney for Plaintiff, certify that, on the 7th day of

June, 2018, I served a copy of Plaintiffs' Response to Pre-Motion Conference Request of Melissa

2

Harris on all counsel of record by electronic facsimile by using the ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ J. Nicholas Bostic

J. Nicholas Bostic P40653 Attorney for Plaintiff 909 N. Washington Ave. Lansing, MI 48906 517-706-0132